

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,169	09/16/2003	Steven A. Buhler	D/A2559	3335	
75	90 09/09/2005	•	EXAMINER		
Patent Docume	entation Center	enter VO, HAI			
Xerox Corporation Xerox Square 20th Floor ART UN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
100 Clinton Ave			1771		
Rochester, NY 14644			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/664,169	BUHLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hai Vo	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address:	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Journal 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowanged in accordance with the practice under Exercise 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-19 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be the Examine and the correct to be the Examine and the specific and the correct to be the Examine and the specific and the spec	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/664,169

Art Unit: 1771

1. The claim objections are withdrawn in view of the present amendment.

 All of the art rejections are withdrawn in view of the present amendment.
 However, upon further consideration, new ground of rejection is made in view of JP 08-205288 in view of Ohya et al (US 6,111,339).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-9, and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 08-205288 in view of Ohya et al (US 6,111,339). JP'288 discloses a piezoelectric transducer comprising a metallic substrate 11 bonded to a piezoelectric ceramic plate 12 via an adhesive 14 as shown in figure 1. JP'288 discloses the metallic substrate provided with a plurality of recesses having a width and a depth extending partially through the metallic substrate and at least partially filled with the adhesive in the bonding surface. JP'288 discloses the recess having a depth of 0.05 mm [0010], which reads on Applicant's aperture depth. Figure 1 shows that at least one groove extends between at least two recesses. Figure 1 also shows that the recesses comprise no mote than 50% of the bonding surface. JP'288 does not specifically disclose the piezoelectric ceramic plate being porous. Ohya, however, discloses a piezoelectric transducer made from a porous piezoelectric ceramic sheet and an electrode attached to

Application/Control Number: 10/664,169 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

each surface of the porous piezoelectric ceramic sheet. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the porous piezoelectric ceramic plate because such is intended use of the material and Ohya provides necessary details to practice the invention of JP'288. JP'288 does not specifically disclose the portion of the adhesive being absorbed into the porous ceramic plate. However, the combined teachings of the cited references arrived at the porous material bonded to a nonporous material as presently claimed. The modified piezoelectric transducer comprises a metallic substrate 11 bonded to a porous piezoelectric ceramic plate 12 via an adhesive 14 wherein the metallic substrate is provided with a plurality of recesses having a width and a depth extending partially through the metallic substrate and at least partially filled with the adhesive in the bonding surface. It seems from the claim, if one meets the structure recited, the properties must be met or Applicant's claim is incomplete. Hence, it is not seen that the portion of the adhesive could not have been absorbed into the porous ceramic plate because like material has like property. The same token is applied to the presence of adhesive residue on the bonding surface.

JP'288 does not specifically disclose the width of the recess. However, Since the recess width is recognized as a result-effective variable, differences in recess width will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such recess width is critical or provides unexpected results. Therefore, in the absence of unexpected results, it

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the recess having a width in the range instantly claimed motivated by the desire to improve bonding strength between the metallic substrate and ceramic plate. This is in line with *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 which holds discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

5. All of the art rejections have been withdrawn because none of the applied references teaches or suggests a roofing assembly wherein the apertures extend partially through the non-porous substrate but rather extend completely through the non-porous substrate.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Application/Control Number: 10/664,169 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1485. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th, F, 7:00-4:30 and on alternating Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hails

HV

HAI VO PRIMARY EXAMINER